Northwest Forest Plan/Tribal Monitoring Module

Interview Questionnaire

INTRODUCTION

Results of the July 2000 Pilot Study indicated the need to revise the original questionnaire to make it easier to use and understand, after analyzing tribal responses to assess the effectiveness of the pilot questionnaire. In 2001, the NWFP Tribal Monitoring work group shortened the original questionnaire, consolidating redundancy, resulting in versions of questions 1-11 that have been exclusively used in the initial eight tribal monitoring interview sessions accomplished between 11/26/02 and 2/13/03. Based upon interactions with tribal government officials during this period and other feedback, the following set of 14 questions was adopted for Tribal Monitoring Module implementation in July 2003. (Please note the option to provide a single response per item, or to respond individually for each agency and/or field office):

- 1) Have written consultation protocols been developed?
 - Are they adequate for government-to-government consultation?
 - Are they adequate for potential effects on tribal lands or tribal interests?

Consultation Protocol Developed?:	Adequate for Consultation?:	Adequate for Potential Effects?:
*Single Answer for All: Yes No	*Single Answer for All: Yes No	*Single Answer for All: Yes No
A: Y N	A: Y N	A: Y N
B: Y N	B: Y N	B: Y N
C: Y N	C: Y N	C: Y N

2) Is the tribe aware of federal policy guidance available for tribal consultation when agency plans, projects, programs or activities have the potential to affect resources, uses, or areas of interest to tribes, including tribal lands? Are federal procedures adequate to identify direct and indirect effects to activities on tribal lands?

Policy Guidance Available?:	Procedures Adequately Identify Effects on Tribal Lands?:
*Single Answer for All: Yes No	*Single Answer for All: Yes No
A: Y N	A: Y N
B: Y N	B: Y N
C: Y N	C: Y N

- 3) Over the past ten years, has the tribe been consulted on federal agency plans, projects, programs or activities that might affect tribal resources, tribal uses, or areas of interest to tribes?
 - How frequently?

- How nequently.		
Has the Tribe Been Consulted?:	How Frequently?:	
*Single Answer for All: Yes No	*Single Answer for All:	
A: Y N	A::	
B: Y N	B::	
C: Y N	C:	

- Does the tribe participate with the PACs?
- Has the tribe been involved in watershed analysis?

Tribe Participates with PACs?:	Tribe Involved in Watershed Analysis?:
*Single Answer for All: Yes No	*Single Answer for All: Yes No
A: Y N	A: Y N
B: Y N	B: Y N
C: Y N	C: Y N

• Provide examples of effects, such as to resources, uses, or areas of interest.

Examples:			
*Single Answer for All:			
A:			
B:			
C:			

4) Over the past ten years, has tribs	al information been incorporated into	federal planning documents and
	anner such that tribes can recognize the	
•	to federal actions or considerations f	or resources of interest? If so,
please explain.		
*Single Answer for All: Yes No	Tribal Input Changes I	Results? Explain, if so:
A: Y N	*Single Answer for All: Y N Explain A: Y N Explain	
3: Y N	B: Y N Explain	
C: Y N	C: Y N Explain	
5) Over the past ten years, have an	encies consulted or collaborated with	tribal governments to develop
	ation, or assessment projects, or for of	
Agency Collaboration with Tribal Governm		mer planning errores.
*Single Answer for All: Yes No	-	
A: Y N B: Y N		
C 37 N		
6) What is the level of the tribal go		
• Section 7 consultations?		5 High
• Section 10 consultations?	Low 1 2 3 4_	5 High
	ve the exercise of tribal rights or acce	
•	ged? Is this in any way due to implen	nenting the NWFP standards and
guidelines?		
How Has Tribal Exercise or Ac Single Answer for All:	ccess on Federal Lands Changed?:	*Single Answer for All: Y N ?
\:		A: Y N ?
3::		B: Y N ?
2::		C: Y N ?
3) Is the tribe aware of procedures	that have been put in place to provide	e for:
	information from unauthorized access	
	onal knowledge in the development	
Protecting cultural sites or		Č
Protect Sensitive Tribal Information?	Incorporate Traditional Knowledge?	Protect Cultural Sites on Federal Land?
Single Answer for All: Yes No	*Single Answer for All: Yes No	*Single Answer for All: Yes No
. Y N B: Y N	A: Y N B: Y N	A: Y N B: Y N
: Y N	C: Y N	C: Y N
) What are the conflicts over the v	ise or management of resources or are	eas of tribal interest? Over the past
		implementation of the NWFP and
ssociated government-to-government	eased or decreased? Is this a result of nent consultation, including efforts to	
ssociated government-to-government	nent consultation, including efforts to	
ssociated government-to-governmesolution processes adequate?	ment consultation, including efforts to What Are the Conflicts?	
ssociated government-to-governmesolution processes adequate? Single Answer for All:	what Are the Conflicts?	resolve conflicts? Are the conflict
ssociated government-to-governmesolution processes adequate? Single Answer for All:	ment consultation, including efforts to What Are the Conflicts?	resolve conflicts? Are the conflict
ssociated government-to-governmesolution processes adequate? Single Answer for All:	What Are the Conflicts?	resolve conflicts? Are the conflict
associated government-to-governmesolution processes adequate? Single Answer for All:	what Are the Conflicts?	resolve conflicts? Are the conflict
esolution processes adequate? Single Answer for All: B	What Are the Conflicts?	resolve conflicts? Are the conflict Adequate Conflict Resolution?
Associated government-to-gover	what Are the Conflicts? Due to NWFP Consultation? *Single Answer for All:Y_ N_ ase A:Y_ N_	resolve conflicts? Are the conflict Adequate Conflict Resolution? *Single Answer for All: Y N A: Y N
associated government-to-gover	what Are the Conflicts? Due to NWFP Consultation? *Single Answer for All:YN ase ase B:YN	resolve conflicts? Are the conflict Adequate Conflict Resolution? *Single Answer for All: Y N A: Y N

10) Please compare consultation	before the NWFP (i.e., prior to 1994) an	d today, 2003.
	are Consultation Today and Prior to NWFP (pre	r-1994):
*Single Answer for All:		
B::		
·		
11) How would the tribe describe	the success of implementing the NWFI	09
	ween the tribe and the federal land man	
S	rests, access, and resource conditions as	ssociated with federal agency lands
unchanged over the past		
 Is the tribe satisfied with 	the outcomes of government-to-govern	ment consultations or conflict
resolution processes?		
Are Relations Improved?:	Interests/Access/Conditions Unchanged?	Is Tribe Satisfied with Outcomes?:
*Single Answer for All: Y N	*Single Answer for All: Y N	*Single Answer for All: Y N
A: Y N	A: Y N	A: Y N
B: Y N	B: Y N	B: Y N
C: Y N	C: Y N	C: Y N
10) II d d d	11. 1. 1. 1. 1	
	rights or addressed tribal interests assoc	erated with National Forests and
BLM public lands and resources?	Please provide examples, if you wish.	
*Single Answer for All: Y N Exa	ample(s)	
A: Y N Exa	mple(s)	
C :Y N Exa	mple(s)	
. 1	mpte(s)	
13) How is federal (FS/RLM) for	est management compatible with what t	he tribe values about those lands?
*Single Answer for All:	est management compatible with what t	ne troe varies about trose lands.
C::		
14) Are there actions that would s	strengthen and enhance federal-tribal rel	ations?
 Tribal responses and following 	low-up	
•	ollow-up [responses also, if available]	
*Single Answer for All:	onow up [responses also, if available]	
A :		
B:		
C::		
):		
):		

file: TEMquestionnaire90503multi [BC/9-05-03]